



# UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE  
United States Patent and Trademark Office  
Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS  
P.O. Box 1450  
Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450  
www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/550,377	09/20/2005	Thomas H Taylor JR.	6395-68045-05	3377

46135 7590 06/30/2008  
KLARQUIST SPARKMAN, LLP  
121 S.W. SALMON STREET  
SUITE 1600  
PORTLAND, OR 97204

EXAMINER
----------

SUGLO, JANET L

ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
----------	--------------

2857

MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE
-----------	---------------

06/30/2008

PAPER

**Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.**

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

<b>Interview Summary</b>	<b>Application No.</b> 10/550,377	<b>Applicant(s)</b> TAYLOR, THOMAS H	
	<b>Examiner</b> JANET L. SUGLO	<b>Art Unit</b> 2857	

All participants (applicant, applicant's representative, PTO personnel):

(1) JANET L. SUGLO.

(3) Kristen Lantz (Reg. No. 61,162).

(2) Jeffrey West .

(4) Gregory Maurer (Reg. No. 43,781).

Date of Interview: 24 June 2008.

Type: a) ☒ Telephonic b) ☐ Video Conference  
c) ☐ Personal [copy given to: 1) ☐ applicant 2) ☐ applicant's representative]

Exhibit shown or demonstration conducted: d) ☐ Yes e) ☒ No.

If Yes, brief description: \_\_\_\_\_.

Claim(s) discussed: 1.

Identification of prior art discussed: Wittwer et al. (US Patent 6,503,720).

Agreement with respect to the claims f) ☐ was reached. g) ☒ was not reached. h) ☐ N/A.

Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was agreed to if an agreement was reached, or any other comments: Applicant argued that Wittwer does not teach two endpoints determined via a second derivative. Examiner noted that the determination of the concentration of the substance did not depend on the usable portion. No agreement was reached with respect to the claims. .

(A fuller description, if necessary, and a copy of the amendments which the examiner agreed would render the claims allowable, if available, must be attached. Also, where no copy of the amendments that would render the claims allowable is available, a summary thereof must be attached.)

THE FORMAL WRITTEN REPLY TO THE LAST OFFICE ACTION MUST INCLUDE THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW. (See MPEP Section 713.04). If a reply to the last Office action has already been filed, APPLICANT IS GIVEN A NON-EXTENDABLE PERIOD OF THE LONGER OF ONE MONTH OR THIRTY DAYS FROM THIS INTERVIEW DATE, OR THE MAILING DATE OF THIS INTERVIEW SUMMARY FORM, WHICHEVER IS LATER, TO FILE A STATEMENT OF THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW. See Summary of Record of Interview requirements on reverse side or on attached sheet.

/Jeffrey R. West/  
Primary Examiner, Art Unit 2857

Examiner Note: You must sign this form unless it is an Attachment to a signed Office action.

Examiner's signature, if required